

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE F-1,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-03945-HSG](#)

**REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF  
DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP**

JANE DOE LS 90,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-03956-HSG](#)

R T,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-04301-HSG](#)

JANE DOE LS 231,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-04367-HSG](#)

E. E.,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

Case No. [23-cv-04744-HSG](#)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(c) and Rule 7.2(a) of the Rules of Procedure of the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the above-entitled cases are hereby **REFERRED** to Judge Charles R. Breyer for consideration of whether the cases are related to *In re: Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: 10/12/2023

  
HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
United States District Judge

United States District Court  
Northern District of California